



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,661	06/19/2001	Harry Nick Carter	AREQU.0101 4500	
7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER	
David W. Carstens			LIM, KRISNA	
Carstens, Yee	& Cahoon, L.L.P.			
P.O. Box 802334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 7:	5380		2153	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/884,661	CARTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Krisna Lim	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	.  the mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Se	entember 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
,						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
·· _	_					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOMIN PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/884,661 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

1. Applicant's election of the Invention Group I in the reply filed on 8/31/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- 2. Claims 1-23 are still pending for examination and claims 16-23 are withdrawn from consideration.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilkins et al. [U.S. Publication No. 2004/0133924].
- 5. <u>Wilkins et al.</u> disclosed (e.g., see Figs. 1-8) the invention substantially as claimed. Taking claims 1, 4-5 and 8 as exemplary claims, the reference disclosed a system for synchronizing (e.g., see the abstract, item 450 of Fig. 4) a multiplicity of devices in multimedia (450 of Fig. 4) environment, comprising:
- a) at least one central storage (e.g., on-line photo sharing sites, see § 11) and an interface device, wherein audio, video and photographic information (e.g., multimedia of Fig. 4) are stored in digital form. And this multimedia is updated (e.g., modified) in relation with other specific storage, whereby the at least a user or multiple users can

Application/Control Number: 09/884,661

Art Unit: 2153

access substantially identical multimedia related to the at least one user (e.g., see § 14 and last 3 lines of § 17).

While Wilkins et al. disclosed a plurality of host computers, a server computer and other devices connected to each other by a network 406, Wilkins et al. did not explicitly mention the term "zone" or "zone specific storage". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that such "zone specific storage" would have been a storage of the server 404).

- 6. As to claims 2, 3, 6, 7 and 13-15, such feature of either hardwired or wireless LAN or WAN, a network connection, and wireless mobile device are the well known feature and well within one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.
- 7. As to claims 11 and 12, Wilkins et al. taught also the feature of A/D conversion (e.g., see A/D converter 208 and the processor system 200 of Fig. 2).
- 8. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisna Lim whose telephone number is 571-272-3956. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess, can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

ΚI

December 11, 2005

KRISNA LIM PRIMARY EXAMINER